

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/879,276

Applicant(s)

MAKKITTIKUL ET AL.

Examiner

Kathy Takeguchi

Art Unit

2187

All Participants:

(1) Kathy Takeguchi.

(2) James P. Hao (Registration Number 36,398).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 June 2003

Time: 1:30pm (Eastern Time)

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner initiated an interview with James Hao to inquire about a failure to respond to a first office action mailed on 12/17/02. James Hao stated that his office did not receive a first office action regarding application 09/879,276. Examiner verified the mailing address and verified that no change of address forms were submitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Examiner notified James Hao that a Notice of Abandonment will be mailed and reminded James Hao of the procedure set forth in MPEP 711.03(c).